

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,776	07/22/2003	Karsten Wieczorek	2000.104300 6782	
23720 75	590 06/22/2005		EXAMINER	
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100			NGUYEN, THANH T	
HOUSTON, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	
		DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/624,776	WIECZOREK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Thanh T. Nguyen	2813			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-9,22 and 26-41 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,22 and 26-41</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		,			
Attachment(s)	, -	(DTO 442)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	, 6) 🔲 Other:				

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,344,396).

Referring to figures 1a-1j, Ishida et al. teaches in claim 1, a method of forming a semiconductor device feature, the method comprising:

providing a substrate (10), the substrate having a surface;

depositing a first layer (12) above the surface of the substrate;

depositing a second layer (14) of material on the first layer (12) of material;

implanting ions (15a/15b) into said second layer of material (14') to modify a structure of the material of said second layer (see figure 1D);

after depositing the first layer (12) and the second layer (14) of material, patterning the second layer of material (14) and said first layer by photolithography to form said semiconductor device feature in said first layer (see figure 1c); and

removing said patterned second layer of material, whereby a selectivity in removing said patterned second layer is increased by the implanting of said ions (see figure 1e-1f, col. 11, lines 31-67, col. 12, lines 1-65), wherein the layer 14' has been removed). It is inherent that the implanted region would have a higher etch selectivity.

Regarding to claim 2, ions are substantially inert ions (see col. 11, lines 51-67).

Regarding to claim 3, ions are at least one of argon ions, xenon ions, germanium ions and silicon ions (see col. 11, lines 51-67).

Regarding to claim 4, the ion dose is in the range of approximately 1x1013 to 5x 1015 ions/cm2 (see col. 12, lines 30-40).

Regarding to claim 5, the ion energy is in the range of approximately 5-80 kev (see col. 12, lines 30-40).

Regarding to claim 7, second layer of material is comprised of an inorganic material (14, nitride is an inorganic material).

Regarding to claim 8, the material of second layer of material is one of silicon nitride and silicon reacted nitride (dielectric nitride is short for silicon nitride known in semiconductor).

Claims 1-3, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Long et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,153,534).

Art Unit: 2813

Referring to figures 1-10b, Long et al. teaches in claim 1, a method of forming a semiconductor device feature, the method comprising:

providing a substrate (204), said substrate having a surface,

depositing a first layer (212) above the surface of the substrate;

depositing a second layer of material (250) on the first layer of material;

implanting ions (see figure 6a-6b) into said second layer of material (250) to modify a structure of the material of said second layer (see figure 1D);

after depositing the first layer (212) and the second layer (250) of material, patterning said second layer of material (250) and said first layer to form said semiconductor device feature in said first layer (see figure 7); and

removing said patterned second layer of material, whereby a selectivity in removing said patterned second layer is increased by the implanting of said ions (see figure 9a-9b, col. 6, lines 54-67, col. 7, lines 1-18), wherein the layer 250 has been removed). It is inherent that the implanted region would have a higher etch selectivity, see col. 5, lines 44-65).

Regarding to claim 2, ions are substantially inert ions (see col. 5, lines 44-57).

Regarding to claim 3, ions are at least one of argon ions, xenon ions, germanium ions and silicon ions (see col. 5, lines 44-57).

Regarding to claim 7, layer of material is comprised of an inorganic material (250, oxide is an inorganic material).

Art Unit: 2813

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 22, 26-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,344,396) as applied to claims 1-5, 7-8 or Long et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,153,534) as applied to claims 1-3, 7 in view of Iyer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,121,133).

Referring to figures 1a-1j, Ishida et al. teaches in claim 1, method of forming a semiconductor device feature, the method comprising:

Referring to figures 1a-1j, Ishida et al. teaches in claim 1, a method of forming a semiconductor device feature, the method comprising:

providing a substrate (10), the substrate having a surface;

depositing a first layer (12) above the surface of the substrate;

depositing a second layer (14) of material on the first layer (12) of material;

implanting ions (15a/15b) into said second layer of material (14') to modify a structure of the material of said second layer (see figure 1D);

after depositing the first layer (12) and the second layer (14) of material, patterning the second layer of material (14) and said first layer by photolithography to form said semiconductor device feature in said first layer (see figure 1c); and

removing said patterned second layer of material, whereby a selectivity in removing

Art Unit: 2813

said patterned second layer is increased by the implanting of said ions (see figure 1e-1f, col. 11, lines 31-67, col. 12, lines 1-65), wherein the layer 14' has been removed). It is inherent that the implanted region would have a higher etch selectivity.

Regarding to claim 2, ions are substantially inert ions (see col. 11, lines 51-67).

Regarding to claim 3, ions are at least one of argon ions, xenon ions, germanium ions and silicon ions (see col. 11, lines 51-67).

Regarding to claim 4, the ion dose is in the range of approximately 1x1013 to 5x 1015 ions/cm2 (see col. 12, lines 30-40).

Regarding to claim 5, the ion energy is in the range of approximately 5-80 kev (see col. 12, lines 30-40).

Regarding to claim 7, second layer of material is comprised of an inorganic material (14, nitride is an inorganic material).

Regarding to claim 8, the material of second layer of material is one of silicon nitride and silicon reacted nitride (dielectric nitride is short for silicon nitride known in semiconductor).

Regarding to claim 22, a method, comprising:

providing a substrate (10) having a first layer of material (12) comprised of a gate electrode (12) material above the surface of the substrate;

depositing a second layer of material (14) above said first layer of material; and implanting ions(15a/15b) into said second layer of material (14') to modify a structure of the material of said second layer of material and thereby increase an etch selectivity of said

Art Unit: 2813

second layer of material relative to said first layer of material (see figure 1e-1f, col. 11, lines 31-67, col. 12, lines 1-65), wherein the layer 14' has been removed). It is inherent that the implanted region would have a higher etch selectivity.

Regarding to claim 26, ions comprise at least one of argon ions, xenon ions, germanium ions and silicon ions (see col. 11, lines 51-67).

Regarding to claim 27, ions are substantially inert ions (see col. 11, lines 51-67).

Regarding to claim 28, an implant energy of an ion implant process performed to implant said ions is selected such that the structure of the material comprising the second layer of material is modified substantially throughout an entire thickness of said second layer of material (see figure 1e-1f).

Regarding to claim 30, performing at least one etch process to define a feature in said first layer of material (see figures 1a).

Regarding to claim 31, performing an etching process to remove a portion of said second layer of material above said feature in said first layer of material (see figures 1b-1c, cols. 11, lines 7-30).

Referring to figures 1-10b, Long et al. teaches in claim 1, a method of forming a semiconductor device feature, the method comprising:

Referring to figures 1-10b, Long et al. teaches in claim 1, a method of forming a semiconductor device feature, the method comprising:

providing a substrate (204), said substrate having a surface,

depositing a first layer (212) above the surface of the substrate;

Art Unit: 2813

depositing a second layer of material (250) on the first layer of material;

implanting ions (see figure 6a-6b) into said second layer of material (250) to modify a structure of the material of said second layer (see figure 1D);

after depositing the first layer (212) and the second layer (250) of material, patterning said second layer of material (250) and said first layer to form said semiconductor device feature in said first layer (see figure 7); and

removing said patterned second layer of material, whereby a selectivity in removing said patterned second layer is increased by the implanting of said ions (see figure 9a-9b, col. 6, lines 54-67, col. 7, lines 1-18), wherein the layer 250 has been removed). It is inherent that the implanted region would have a higher etch selectivity, see col. 5, lines 44-65).

Regarding to claim 2, ions are substantially inert ions (see col. 5, lines 44-57).

Regarding to claim 3, ions are at least one of argon ions, xenon ions, germanium ions and silicon ions (see col. 5, lines 44-57).

Regarding to claim 7, layer of material is comprised of an inorganic material (250, oxide is an inorganic material).

Regarding to claim 22, a method, comprising:

providing a substrate (204) having a first layer of material (212) comprised of a gate electrode material above the surface of the substrate material (212, see col. 4, lines 29-34).;

depositing a second layer of material (250) above said first layer of material; and implanting ions(see figure 6a-6b) into said second layer of material (250) to modify a structure of the material of said second layer of material and thereby increase an etch selectivity of said second layer of material relative to said first layer of material (see figure 9a-9b, col. 6, lines 54-

67, col. 7, lines 1-18), wherein the layer 250 has been removed). It is inherent that the implanted region would have a higher etch selectivity, see col. 5, lines 44-65).

Regarding to claim 26, ions comprise at least one of argon ions, xenon ions, germanium ions and silicon ions (see col. 5, lines 44-57).

Regarding to claim 27, ions are substantially inert ions (see col. 5, lines 44-57).

Regarding to claim 28, an implant energy of an ion implant process performed to implant said ions is selected such that the structure of the material comprising the second layer of material is modified substantially throughout an entire thickness of said second layer of material (see figure 6a-6b).

Regarding to claim 29, an implant energy of an ion implant process performed to implant said ions is selected such that said implanted ions are substantially located adjacent an interface between said first layer of material and said second layer of material (see figures 6a-6b).

Regarding to claim 30, performing at least one etch process to define a feature in said first layer of material (see figures 4a-4b).

Regarding to claim 31, performing an etching process to remove a portion of said second layer of material above said feature in said first layer of material (see figures 6-8).

However, the reference does not teach the second layer is an antireflective layer a dimension of the device feature in one direction is 100 nm or less.

Iyer et al. teaches a silicon nitride or silicon oxide act as an antireflective layer (see abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made would form a silicon nitride or silicon oxide to act as an

Art Unit: 2813

antireflective layer in process of Ishida et al. or Long et al. as taught by Iyer et al. because the process would prevent reflection of light so that the ion beam can go through.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made to optimize the dimension of the device feature, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art (i.e.- dimension of the device feature in one direction is 100 nm or less), discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

The specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed arrangement (i.e.- dimension of the device feature in one direction is 100 nm or less) or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen limitations or upon another variable recited in a claim, the applicant must show that the chosen limitations are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578 (FED. Cir. 1990).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that none of the prior art teaches or suggests after depositing the first layer (12) and the second layer of material (14), patterning the second layer of material (14) and the first layer (12) to form the semiconductor feature in the first layer (see figure 1C) in Ishida et al. and after depositing the first layer (212) and the second layer (250) of material, patterning said second layer of material (250) and said first layer to form said semiconductor device feature

in said first layer (see figure 7) in Long et al.. Noted that there is no sequence in the claimed invention to show that patterning the second layer and first layer of material in the same processing step.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1695, or by Email via address Thanh Nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00AM to 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2813

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 (See MPEP 203.08).

Thanh Nguyen

Patent Examiner

Patent Examining Group 2800

TTN